

The Gazette of India



PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 2] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JANUARY 14, 1956

NOTICE

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 7th January, 1956:—

Issue No.	No. and date	Issued by	Subject
1.	S.R.O. 1, dated the 1st January 1956.	Ministry of Commerce and Industry.	Fixation of the price of tea for the purpose of Item 5 in the Second Schedule to the Indian Tariff Act, 1934.
2.	S.R.O. 2, dated the 2nd January 1956.	Ministry of Law	The Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956.
3.	S.R.O. 3, dated the 4th January 1956.	Ministry of Labour	Draft amendment to the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of employment) Scheme, 1951.
4.	S.R.O. 38, dated the 7th January 1956.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Central Government certifies the films to be of the description specified therein.

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

PART II—Section 3

Statutory Rules and Orders issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and Central Authorities (other than the Chief Commissioners).

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

New Delhi, the 5th January 1956

S.R.O. 42.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Andhra, hereby

nominates Shri A. Chandrasekhar, I.A.S., Joint Secretary to the Government of Andhra, Home Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(1).]

S.R.O. 43.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Andhra, hereby nominates Shri A. Krishnaswami, I.A.S., Joint Secretary to the Government of Andhra, Home Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 5th January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(1).]

S.R.O. 44.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Assam, hereby nominates Shri R. R. Khaund, B.L., Additional Secretary to the Government of Assam, Legislative Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(2).]

S.R.O. 45.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Bihar, hereby nominates Shri Syed Akhtar Ahsan Rizwi, Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bihar, Appointment Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(3).]

S.R.O. 46.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Bombay, hereby nominates Shri N. S. Pardasani, I.A.S., Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Political & Services Department, Bombay, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(4).]

S.R.O. 47.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Madhya Pradesh, hereby nominates Shri J. S. Dave, M.A., LL.B., Deputy Secretary to the Government of Madhya Pradesh, Law Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(5).]

S.R.O. 48.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Madras, hereby nominates Shri M. A. Sheriff, I.A.S., Deputy Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public (Elections) Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(6).]

S.R.O. 49.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Hyderabad, hereby nominates Shri A. R. Gopalan, M.A., B.L., Deputy Secretary to the Government of Hyderabad, Home Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(11).]

S.R.O. 50.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Mysore, hereby nominates Shri M. K. Varadarajan, Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Election Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(13).]

S.R.O. 51.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Patiala and East Punjab States Union, hereby nominates Shri Amar Nath Kashyap, I.A.S., Joint Secretary to Government, Patiala and East Punjab States Union, Home Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(14).]

S.R.O. 52.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Rajasthan, hereby nominates Shri Chandra Shekhar Gupta, I.A.S., Secretary to the Government of Rajasthan in the Election Department, Jaipur, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(15).]

S.R.O. 53.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Saurashtra, hereby nominates Shri H. B. Shukla, B.A., LL.B., Secretary to the Government of Saurashtra, Legislative Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(16).]

S.R.O. 54.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Travancore-Cochin, hereby nominates Shri T. R. Balakrishna Iyer, Secretary to the Government of Travancore-Cochin, Law Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(17).]

S.R.O. 55.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Bhopal, hereby nominates Shri Shyam Bharosay, M.A., LL.B., Director, Panchayat Raj, Government of Bhopal, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(19).]

S.R.O. 56.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Delhi, hereby nominates Shri Hardayal Singh, Resident Magistrate, New Delhi, as the Chief Electoral Officer, for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(21).]

S.R.O. 57.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Kutch, hereby nominates Shri T. M. Sheth, M.A., LL.B., Bar-at-Law, Collector and District Magistrate, Bhuj, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(23).]

S.R.O. 58.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 of the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Manipur, hereby nominates Shri V. S. Sundaram, Deputy Commissioner, Manipur, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(24).]

S.R.O. 59.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of rule 2 the Representation of the People (Preparation of Electoral Rolls) Rules, 1956, the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Vindhya Pradesh, hereby nominates Shri K. P. Sinha, Judicial Secretary to the Government of Vindhya Pradesh, as the Chief Electoral Officer for that State with effect from the 2nd January, 1956.

[No. 154/56(26).]

S.R.O. 60.—It is hereby notified for general information that the disqualifications under clause (c) of section 7 and section 143 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (XLIII of 1951), incurred by the persons whose names and addresses are given below, as notified under notifications Nos. RN-P/11/55(5) Bye and RN-P/11/55(6) Bye, dated the 14th December, 1955, have been removed by the Election Commission in exercise of the powers conferred on it by the said clause and section 144 of the said Act respectively:—

Shri Narayan Singh, House No. 283/1, Phulera Town.

Shri Shyam Behari Lal/Mathura Prashad, House No. 4868, Math Ka Kua, Circle No. 23, Chowkri Ghat Darwaza, Jaipur A.

Shri Roop Chand Sogani, C/o Shyam Behari Lal, House No. 4868, Math Ka Kua, Circle No. 23, Chowkri Ghat Darwaza, Jaipur A.

[No. RN-P/II/55(7) BYE/325.]

S.R.O. 61.—It is hereby notified for general information that the disqualifications under clause (c) of section 7 and section 143 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (XLIII of 1951), incurred by the person whose name and address are given below, as notified under notification No. MP-P/52(25), dated the 15th May, 1952, have been removed by the Election Commission in exercise of the powers conferred on it by the said clause and section 144 of the said Act respectively:—

Shri Jankiram Narayan Kothalkar at Post Akola, District Akola (Madhya Pradesh).

[No. MP-P/23/55(56)/340.]

By order,

P. S. SUBRAMANIAN, Secy.

MINISTRY OF LAW

New Delhi, the 3rd January 1956

S.R.O. 62.—The following Proclamation issued by the Government of the Colony of Fiji under the provisions of the Foreign Judgments (Reciprocal Enforcement) Ordinance is published below for general information:—

"PROCLAMATION

[No. 25 of 1955.]

[L.S.]

R. H. GARVEY

By his Excellency Sir Ronald Herbert Garvey, Knight Commander of the Most Distinguished Order of Saint Michael and Saint George, Knight Commander of the Royal Victorian Order, Member of the Most Excellent Order of the British Empire, Governor and Commander-in-Chief in and over the Colony of Fiji.

WHEREAS under the provisions of the Foreign Judgments (Reciprocal Enforcement) Ordinance the Governor may extend Part II of the said Ordinance to any foreign country and to any dominion:

And whereas by section 44A of an Act of the Indian Legislature entitled the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, which extends to the territories of the

Republic of India names in the Schedule hereto, a decree of the Supreme Court of the Colony of Fiji may be executed in the said territories:

And whereas it is expedient to apply the said Ordinance to the said territories named in the Schedule hereto:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred upon me by section 3 of the Foreign Judgments (Reciprocal Enforcement) Ordinance, I do by this Proclamation direct—

- (a) that Part II of the Foreign Judgments (Reciprocal Enforcement) Ordinance shall extend to the territories of the Republic of India named in Schedule hereto;
- (b) that the following courts in the said territories shall be deemed to be superior courts for the purposes of the said Part II, that is to say,
 - (i) The Supreme Court;
 - (ii) all High Courts and Judicial Commissioners' Courts;
 - (iii) all district Courts;
 - (iv) all other courts whose civil jurisdiction is subject to no pecuniary limit, provided that the judgment sought to be registered under the said Foreign Judgments (Reciprocal Enforcement) Ordinance is sealed with a seal showing that the jurisdiction of the court is subject to no pecuniary limit;
- (c) that Proclamation No. 5 of 1955 be cancelled. Given under my hand and the Public Seal of the Colony this 5th day of November, 1955.

(F. 78/257).

GOD SAVE THE QUEEN

SCHEDULE

The States of Andhra (Except the Scheduled Areas), Assam (except the Tribal Areas), Bihar, Bombay, Madhya Pradesh, Madras (except the Scheduled Areas), Orissa, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, Hyderabad, Madhya Bharat, Mysore, Patiala and East Punjab States Union, Rajasthan, Saurashtra, Travancore-Cochin, Ajmer, Bhopal, Coorg, Delhi, Himachal Pradesh, Kutch, Tripura, Vindhya Pradesh, the Andaman and Nicobar Islands.

[No. F.34-III/52-L.]

New Delhi, the 6th January 1956

S.R.O. 63.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of section 29 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Act V of 1908), the Central Government hereby declares that for a period of one year with effect from the 15th January, 1956, the provisions of the said section shall apply to the High Court of Sikkim in the exercise of its civil jurisdiction and all civil and revenue courts, in Sikkim.

[No. F. 27-1/54-L.]

S.R.O. 64.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Explanation I to section 44A of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Act V of 1908), the Central Government hereby declares Sikkim to be a reciprocating territory for the purposes of the said section for a period of one year with effect from the 15th January, 1956 and the following Courts in Sikkim to be superior courts of that territory, namely:—

1. The High Court of Sikkim in exercise of its civil jurisdiction.
2. Any other civil court in Sikkim whose jurisdiction is not subject to any pecuniary limit provided that the judgment or the decree sought to be executed is sealed with a seal showing that the jurisdiction of the court is subject to no pecuniary limit.

[No. F. 27-1/54-L.]

H. R. KRISHNAN, Jt. Secy.

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS*New Delhi-2, the 8th January 1956*

S.R.O. 65.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sections 7 and 36 of the Indian Ports Act, 1908 (XV of 1908), the Central Government hereby appoints the Deputy Conservator of Forests of Middle Andamans, or, in his absence, the Assistant Conservator of Forests of Middle Andamans, to be the Conservator of the port of Elphinstone Harbour in the Middle Andamans, and to receive all dues, fees and other charges authorised to be taken at that port by or under the said Act, and, subject to the control of the Central Government, to expend the receipts on any of the objects authorised by the said Act, and makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs No. 56/3/49-II-AN, dated the 30th December, 1952, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, for item I and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

I	2
"I. The Engineer and Harbour Master and Shipping Office, Port Blair.	Port Blair.
I A. The Deputy Conservator of Forests of Middle Andamans or, in his absence, the Assistant Conservator of Forests of Middle Andamans.	Elphinstone Harbour in the Middle Andamans."

[No. 56/3/49-AN.]

B. N. MAHESHWARI, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS*New Delhi, the 31st December 1955*

S.R.O. 66.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sections 3 and 4 of the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1947 (XLVII of 1947), the Central Government hereby directs that subject to its control, the function vested in it by the Explanation to Section 25 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (XXVI of 1881), to declare public holidays, shall be performed by the Chief Commissioner of the State of Pondicherry in respect of that State.

[No. GP/54/99636/701.]

New Delhi, the 2nd January 1956

S.R.O. 67.—Whereas by virtue of the agreement dated the 21st day of October, 1954, entered into between the Government of India and the Government of France, the Central Government has jurisdiction in, and in relation to, the State of Pondicherry.

And whereas it is expedient that provision should be made for granting salaries and allowances to the members of the Representative Assembly of that State.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1947 (XLVII of 1947), and of all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Central Government hereby makes the following Order, namely:—

1. **Short title and commencement.**—(1) This Order may be called the State of Pondicherry (Salaries and Allowances of Members of Representative Assembly) Order, 1955.

(2) It shall come into force at once.

2. **Definitions.**—In this Order, unless the context otherwise requires:—

- (a) "Assembly" means the Representative Assembly of the State of Pondicherry;
- (b) "member" means a member of the Assembly;
- (c) "period of residence on duty" means the period during which a member resides at a place where a session of the Assembly or a sitting of a Committee thereof is held for the purpose of attending such session or sitting and includes—
 - (i) in the case of a session of the Assembly, a period of such residence, not exceeding two days, immediately preceding the commencement of the session and a period of such residence, not exceeding two days, immediately succeeding the conclusion of the session, and

- (ii) in the case of a sitting of a committee a period of such residence, not exceeding one day, immediately preceding the commencement of the business of the committee and a period of such residence, not exceeding one day immediately succeeding the conclusion of the business of the committee.

(d) "term of office" means—

- (a) in relation to a person who is a member at the commencement of this Order, the period beginning with such commencement and ending with the date on which his seat becomes vacant;
- (b) in relation to a person who becomes a member after such commencement, the period beginning with the date when such member takes his seat in the Assembly and ending with the date on which his seat becomes vacant.

3. Salaries and daily allowances.—(1) Subject to the provisions of sub-paragraphs (2) and (3), a member shall be entitled to receive a salary at the rate of one hundred and twenty rupees per mensem during the whole of his term of office plus an allowance at the rate of ten rupees for each day during any period of residence on duty.

(2) A member who ordinarily resides at a place where a session of the Assembly or a sitting of a committee thereof is held shall not be entitled to receive the allowance referred to in sub-paragraph (1).

(3) In the case of a sitting of a Committee if the time of arrival at or departure from the place where the sitting is held is in the afternoon or forenoon respectively of the day immediately preceding or succeeding the commencement or conclusion of the business of the Committee, the member shall be entitled to receive an allowance for that day at half the rate specified in sub-paragraph (1).

4. Travelling allowances to members from Mahe and Yamam.—There shall be paid to a member elected from Mahe or Yamam in respect of every journey performed by him for the purpose of attending a session of the Assembly or a meeting of a Committee thereof, from his actual place of residence to the place where the session or the meeting is to be held and for the return journey from such place to his actual place of residence travelling allowance at the following rates, namely:—

- (a) if the journey is performed by rail, an amount equal to one fare for the first class or other class (excluding the air conditioned class) actually availed of by the cheapest route plus twelve pies per mile as an allowance for incidental expenses;
- (b) if the journey is performed by road, an allowance at the rate of annas eight per mile:

Provided that the total amount of the travelling allowance shall in no case exceed the amount to which the member would be entitled for any journey between his usual place of residence and the place where a session of the Assembly or a meeting of a Committee thereof is held.

[(No. F. 10-103/55-GP) (FJA-4(1).]

M. M. KHURANA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

New Delhi, the 5th January 1956

S.R.O. 68.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the Civil Service Regulations, namely:—

In the list of services and appointments in Article 349-A(1) of the said Regulations, in the entries relating to "The Public Works Department", the following entry shall be inserted at the end, namely:—

"The Joint Estate Officer".

2. The amendment hereby made shall be deemed to have taken effect on the 1st April 1953.

[No. F.7(68)-E.V/55.1

K. S. GANAPATI, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Economic Affairs)*New Delhi, the 8th January 1956*

S.R.O. 69.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 27 of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1947 (VII of 1947), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Foreign Exchange Regulation Rules, 1952, namely:—

In the Second Schedule to the said Rules, under the column headed "Names of countries", under the heading "D. Transferable Account Countries", in item (i), after "French Somali Coast", the words "Italian Monetary Area" shall be inserted.

[No. F.32(1)EF.II/55.]

R. P. CAPOOR, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE (REVENUE DIVISION)**ORDERS****STAMPS***New Delhi, the 3rd January 1956*

S.R.O. 70.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (II of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the lease deed dated the 18th November, 1955 executed by the High Commission for the United Kingdom in India, in respect of the premises, namely, the ground floor flat at 19/39, Chanakya Puri, New Delhi, is chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 1.]

S.R.O. 71.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (II of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the lease deed dated the 24th October 1955, under the said Act in respect of the instruments hereinafter described:—

- (1) Mortgaged deed executed by a person in the civil or military service of Government for securing the repayment of an advance received by him from Government for the purpose of constructing, purchasing or repairing a dwelling house for his own use.
- (2) Instrument of reconveyance of mortgaged property executed by Government in favour of any person who is or has been in the civil or military service of Government on the repayment of an advance received by him from Government for the purpose of constructing, purchasing or repairing a dwelling house for his own use.

[No. 2.]

New Delhi, the 9th January 1956

S.R.O. 72.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (II of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the lease deed dated the 24th October 1955, executed by the Embassy of the U.S.S.R. in India in respect of the premises situated at plot No. 21, Jorbagh Nursery Area, New Delhi, is chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 3.]

S.R.O. 73.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (II of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the lease deed dated the 24th October 1955, executed by the High Commission for the United Kingdom in India, in respect of the upper and lower flats situated at 123, Sundar Nagar, New Delhi is chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 4.]

C. T. A. PILLAI, Under Secy.

CENTRAL BOARD OF REVENUE**INCOMETAX**

New Delhi, the 7th January 1956

S.R.O. 74.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 59 of the Indian Incometax Act, 1922, the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the Indian Incometax Rules, 1922, the same having been previously published as required by sub-section (4) of the Section, namely:—

In the said Rules:—

- (1) In rule 1A, the words “except the State of Jammu and Kashmir” shall be omitted.
- (2) In rule 13D, the words “excluding the State of Jammu and Kashmir”, wherever they occur, shall be omitted.
- (3) In the table of Total World Income in the form of order under section 18A(1) of the Incometax Act, 1922, under Rule 20A, the item “(iii) income accruing or arising within an Indian State” shall be omitted and items (iv) and (v) shall be renumbered as items (iii) and (iv) respectively.

[No. 1.]

New Delhi, the 10th January 1956

S.R.O. 75.—In pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (XI of 1922), and in partial modification of its notification S.R.O. 915 [No. 34-Incometax] dated the 26th April, 1955, the Central Board of Revenue directs that Shri P. S. Bagadthey, who has been appointed by the Central Government to be a Commissioner of Incometax, shall perform all the functions of a Commissioner of Incometax, in respect of such areas or of such persons or classes of persons or of such incomes or classes of incomes as are comprised in the following Incometax Circles and Districts in the State of West Bengal, namely:—

1. Companies District I.
2. Companies District II.
3. Companies District III.
4. Companies District IV.
6. Non-Companies (Incometax cum Excess Profits Tax) District I.
6. Non-Companies (Incometax cum Excess Profits Tax) District II.
7. District I (I).
8. District I (II).
9. District II (I).
10. District II (II).
11. District III-A.
12. District III (I).
13. District III (II).
14. District IV (I).
15. District IV (II).
16. District IV (III).
17. District V.
18. District V-A.
19. District VI.
20. Railways and Miscellaneous Salaries Circle.
21. Central Salaries Circle.
22. Special Survey Circles I to XI, Calcutta.
23. Special Circles I and II, Calcutta.
24. Refund Circle.
25. Howrah.
26. Hooghly.

27. Foreign Section, Calcutta.
28. 24-Parganas.
29. Burdwan-Birbhum.
30. Midnapur-Bankura.
31. Murshidabad-Nadia.
32. Jalpaiguri-Darjeeling.
33. West Dinajpur-Maldah.
34. Cooch-Behar.

Provided that he shall also perform his functions in respect of such persons or such cases as have been or may be assigned by the Central Board of Revenue to any Incometax authority subordinate to him:

Provided further that he shall not perform his functions in respect of such persons or such cases as have been or may be assigned to any Incometax Authority outside his jurisdictional areas.

While exercising such functions, the said Shri Bagadthey shall be designated as Commissioner of Incometax, West Bengal.

This notification shall be deemed to have taken effect from the 31st day of December, 1955.

[No. 4.]

[No. 55/2/56-IT.]

P. N. DAS GUPTA, Secy.

INCOMETAX

New Delhi, the 9th January 1956

S.R.O. 76.—In pursuance to sub-section (4) of section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (XI of 1922), the Central Board of Revenue directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the Schedule appended to its Notification No. 32-Incometax dated the 9th November, 1946, namely:—

In the said Schedule under the sub head, "VII-A-Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, Bilaspur, Patiala and East Punjab States Union and Jammu & Kashmir State" after entry "18" against Amritsar Range, the following entry shall be added, namely:—

"19, Projects Circle, Jammu."

This Notification shall be deemed to have taken effect on the 5th January, 1956.

[No. 2.]

[No. 50/1/56-IT.]

S.R.O. 77.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (6) of section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (XI of 1922), the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the schedule appended to its Notification S.R.O. 1214 (No. 44-Income-tax), dated 1st July, 1952, namely:—

In the said schedule the following new items shall be inserted after the items mentioned below:—

(i) after Serial No. 3

1	2	3	4	5	6
3-A	Executive and I. Assistant Grade Staff of Madras Branch of Messrs. Rallis India, Limited.	Additional Incometax Salaries, Madras.	In-Officer Circle,	Do.	Do.
				Do.	Do.

(2) after Serial No. 21-A.

1	2	3	4	5	6
21-B	Executive and Assistant Grade Staff of Bombay Branch of Messrs. Rallis India, Limited.	1st Incometax Officer, Salaries, Branch II, Bombay.	Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax-B-Range, Bombay.	Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Incometax H-Range, Bombay.	Commissioner of Income-tax, Bombay City—I.

(3) after Serial No. 25

1	2	3	4	5	6
25-A	Executive and Assistant Grade Staff of Calcutta Branch of Messrs. Rallis India Ltd.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.

[No. 3.]

[No. 55/78/55-IT.]

M. S. SIVRAMKRISHNA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

New Delhi, the 6th January 1956

S.R.O. 78.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 18 of the Forward Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1952 (LXXIV of 1952), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, No. S.R.O. 245 dated the 25th January, 1955, namely:—

In the said notification, the entry “(i) Castor Seed” shall be omitted and entries (ii), (iii) and (iv) shall be renumbered as entries (i), (ii) and (iii) respectively.

[No. 30/23/55-IP(B)-I.]

S.R.O. 79.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 18 of the Forward Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1952 (LXXIV of 1952), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, No. S.R.O. 246, dated the 25th January, 1955, namely:—

In the said notification, the entry “(v) Cotton seed” shall be omitted.

[No. 30/23/55-IP(B)-II.]

S.R.O. 80.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Forward Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1952 (LXXIV of 1952), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, No. S.R.O. 247, dated the 25th January, 1955, namely:—

In the said notification, for the words “Copra and Cotton seed” the words “and Copra” shall be substituted.

[No. 30/23/55-IP(B)-III.]

P. V. S. SARMA, Dy. Secy.

TEA CONTROL

New Delhi, the 9th January 1956

S.R.O. 81.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 50 of the Tea Act, 1953 (29 of 1953), the Tea Board hereby makes the following amendment in the Tea Board By-laws, 1955, published with the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, No. S.R.O. 1390, dated 22nd June, 1955, the said amendment having been confirmed by the Central Government as required by sub-section (2) of the said section, namely:—

In by-law 45 of the said by-laws, after the first sentence ending with the word "jointly", the following sentence shall be inserted, namely:—

"In the absence of the Chairman and the Vice-Chairman, such cheques shall be signed by the Secretary and countersigned by a member of the Executive Committee."

[No. 32(5)Plant/54.]

S.R.O. 82.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Tea Act, 1953 (29 of 1953), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri N. M. Lingam Member, Lok Sabha, as a member of the Tea Board in the vacancy caused by the death of Shri Rohini Kumar Chaudhuri, for the balance of the three year period which commenced on the 24th December, 1954, on which date the late Shri Chaudhuri was appointed to the Tea Board, and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry No. S.R.O. 944, dated the 17th March 1954, namely:—

In the said notification, for entry No. '37' relating to the late Shri Chaudhuri aforesaid, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"37 Shri N. M. Lingam, Member, Lok Sabha—Representing Parliament."

[No. 48(1)Plant/55.]

COFFEE CONTROL

New Delhi, the 10th January 1956

S.R.O. 83.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the second proviso to sub-section (1) of section 25 of the Coffee Act, 1942 (VII of 1942), the Central Government hereby exempts the owners producing coffee in the areas specified below from the provisions of that sub-section, namely:—

1. Madhya Pradesh.
2. Orissa.
3. Assam.
4. Kolli Hills (Madras State), and
5. Vishakapatnam (Andhra State).

[No. 15(13)Plant/55.]

P. V. RAMASWAMY, Under Secy.

Indian Standards Institution

Delhi, the 30th December 1955

S.R.O. 84.—In pursuance of regulation 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955 the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that amendments to the Indian Standards given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been issued under the powers conferred by sub-regulation (1) of regulation 3 of the said regulations.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and title of the Indian Standard amended	No. and date of Gazette Notification in which the establishment of the Indian Standard was notified	No. and date of Amendment	Brief particulars of Amendment	Date of effect of the Amendment
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	IS : 460—1953 Specification for Test Sieves	S.R.O. 658 dated the 26th March, 1955	No. 1 November 1955.	The amendment indicates the corresponding Tyler Sieves against IS Sieve Designations 140, 8, 6, 5 and 4.	1st February 1956.

Copies of the Amendment are available, free of cost, from the Secretary (Administration) Indian Standards Institution, 19 University Road, Delhi-3.

D. V. KARMARKAR,
Deputy Director (Marks).
[No. MDC/11(4).]

Delhi, the 2nd January 1956

S.R.O. 85.—In pursuance of sub-regulations (2) and (3) of regulation 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standards particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been established during the period 24th to 31st December, 1955.

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.]	No. and title of the Indian Standards established	No. and title of the Indian Standard or Standards, if any, superseded by the new Indian Standard	Brief particulars
1	2	3	4
1	IS : 702—1955 Specification for Blown Type Bitumen.	This standard covers the physical requirements and tests for six grades of blown type bitumen, commonly used in the building industry. Blown bitumen also forms the basic coating material in the manufacture of roofing felts (Price Rs. 1/8/-).

Copies of this standard are available for sale with the Secretary (Administration), Indian Standards Institution, 19 University Road, Civil Lines, Delhi-3.

D. V. KARMARKAR,
Deputy Director (Marks).

[No. MDC/11(4).]
T. S. RAMASWAMI, Under Secy.

(Indian Standards Institution)

Delhi, the 2nd January 1956

S.R.O. 86.—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the Indian Standards Institutions (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standards given in the schedule hereto annexed have been established during the quarter ending 31st December, 1955.

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	No. of Indian Standard	Title of Indian Standard
1	IS : 440—1955	Methods for Chemical Analysis of Copper
2	IS : 441—1955	Methods for Chemical Analysis of Brasses and Bronzes
3	IS : 560—1955	Specification for BHC, Technical
4	IS : 561—1955	Specification for BHC Dusting Powders
5	IS : 562—1955	Specification for BHC Water Dispersible Powder Concentrates.
6	IS : 563—1955	Specification for DDT, Technical
7	IS : 564—1955	Specification for DDT Dusting Powders
8	IS : 565—1955	Specification for DDT Water Dispersible Powder Concentrates
9	IS : 623—1955	Specification for Bicycle Frames (<i>Tentative</i>)
10	IS : 625—1955	Specification for Bicycle Handle Bars (<i>Tentative</i>)
11	IS : 626—1955	Specification for Bicycle Seat Pillars (<i>Tentative</i>)
12	IS : 635—1955	Specification for Oil Resisting Hose
13	IS : 637—1955	Specification for Plain Rubber Tubing
14	IS : 638—1955	Specification for Rubber and Insertion Jointing
15	IS : 639—1955	Specification for Gold Leaf
16	IS : 641—1955	Specification for Ready Mixed Paint, Brushing, Finishing, Interior, Oil Gloss, for General Purposes, White.
17	IS : 642—1955	Specification for Varnish, Medium for Aluminium Paint
18	IS : 645—1955	Specification for Decalin (Decahydronaphthalene) for Paints
19	IS : 650—1955	Specification for Indian Standard Sand
20	IS : 653—1955	Specification for Sheet Linoleum
21	IS : 656—1955	Specification for Logs for Plywood
22	IS : 663—1955	Specification for Adzes
23	IS : 695—1955	Specification for Glacial Acetic Acid, Pure, Pharmaceutical and Technical
24	IS : 696—1955	Code of Practice for General Engineering Drawings
25	IS : 702—1955	Specification for Blown Type Bitumen
26	IS : 711—1955	Specification for Ferric Chloride, Technical

D. V. KARMARKAR,

Deputy Director (Marks).

[No. MDC/11(2).]

B. B. BENJAMIN, Under Secy.

ORDER

New Delhi, the 9th January 1956

S.R.O. 87/IDRA/6.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951, (LXV of 1951), the Central Government hereby appoints Dr. A. Nagaraja Rao, Chief Industrial Advisor and *ex-officio* Joint Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industry or his nominee to be a member of the Development Council established for the Scheduled Industry engaged in the manufacture and production of

ugar, and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry No. S.R.O. 892 dated the 12th March 1954, namely:—

“In paragraph I of the said Order, under the category of members” being persons who in the opinion of the Central Government have special knowledge of matters relating to the technical and other aspects of the said Scheduled Industry”, after entry No. 17-A relating to Shri T. S. Venkataraman, ex-Director, Sugarcane Breeding Institute, Coimbatore the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

“17B” Dr. A. Nagaraja Rao, Chief Industrial Adviser and *ex-officio* Joint Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi, or his nominee”.

[No. 5(10)IA(G)/35.]

P. S. SUNDARAM, Dy. Secy.

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 7th January 1956

S.R.O. 88.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, No. S.R.O. 2243 published in the *Gazette of India—Extraordinary*, Part II Section 3, dated October 8, 1955, on page 2223 in Column 3 of Schedule ‘A’ for ‘Basic Maximum price’ read ‘Basic Minimum price’.

[No. 24(23)-CT(A)/55-2.]

S. K. PAL, Under Secy

MINISTRY OF FOOD & AGRICULTURE

(Directorate of Marketing and Inspection)

New Delhi, the 3rd January 1956

S.R.O. 89.—For the purposes of the Government of India, Ministry of Finance (Revenue Division), Notification No. S.R.O. 3753 dated the 26th December, 1955, published in the *Gazette of India—Extraordinary*, Part II Section 3, dated the 26th December, 1955, I hereby authorise Shri R. K. Malik, Deputy Senior Marketing Development Officer, Essential Oils Grading Scheme, Cochin, to issue certificates to the effect that lemongrass oil has been graded in accordance with the provisions of the Essential Oils Grading and Marking Rules, 1954, issued under Section 3 of the Agricultural Produce (Grading and Marking) Act, 1937 (I of 1937).

[No. F.4/146/35-G.]

M. B. GHATGE,

Agricultural Marketing Adviser.

New Delhi, the 4th January 1956

S.R.O. 90.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause 6 of the Sugarcane (Control) Order, 1955, the Central Government hereby directs that the powers conferred on it by sub-clause (a) of clause 4 of the said Order, shall be exercisable also by the Cane Commissioner, Bihar, within his jurisdiction.

[No. F.15/1/55-SV.]

T. C. PURI, Joint Secy.

(Agriculture)

New Delhi, the 7th January 1956

S.R.O. 91.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Agricultural Produce (Grading and Marking) Act, 1937 (I of 1937), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendments to the Sann Hemp

Grading and Marking Rules, 1942 the same having been previously published as required by the said section, namely:—

In Schedule VII to the said rules,—

(1) after the entries relating to grade designation "Dewghuddy 2" the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

"Dewghuddy Shents	20 lbs	Bright creamy yellow to dull creamy yellow"
-------------------	--------	--

(2) for items (b) and (c) in column 5 the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"(b) The strands shall be of reasonably uniform length and strength and free from tangling except in the case of 'Shorts' where uniformity in length and freedom from tangling shall not be necessary".

[No. F. 2-3/55-AM.]

SWAMI DAYAL OBEROI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF HEALTH

New Delhi-2, the 5th January 1956

S.R.O. 92.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1933 (XXVII of 1933), the Central Government hereby nominates Lt.-General D. N. Chakravarti, M.B.B.S. (Luck.), D.T.M.H. (Lond.), D.B. (Lond.), F.N.I., Secretary to the Government of West Bengal in the Medical and Public Health Department, and Director of Health Services, West Bengal, as a member of the Medical Council of India *vice* Dr. B. C. Das-Gupta resigned.

[No. F. 5-23/55-MI.]

KRISHNA BIHARI, Under Secy.

New Delhi-2, the 7th January 1956

S.R.O. 93.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1933 (XXVII of 1933), the Central Government hereby nominate Lieutenant General B. Chaudhuri, Director General, Armed Forces Medical Services as a member of the Medical Council of India *vice* Lieutenant-General D. N. Chakravarti resigned.

[No. F.S-23/55-MI.]

A. V. VENKATASUBBAN, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT

(Transport Wing)

PORTS

New Delhi, the 6th January 1956

S.R.O. 94.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 3 of the Indian Ports Act, 1908 (XV of 1908) the Central Government hereby authorises Shri C. V. Simon, temporary pilot of the Bombay Port Trust, to pilot vessels in the Port of Bombay.

[No. 8A-PI(2)/56.]

K. NARAYANAN, Under Secy.

(Transport Wing)

New Delhi, the 6th January 1956

S.R.O. 95.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 8 of the Calcutta Port Act 1890, the Central Government is pleased to appoint Shri R. K. Mitra, I.C.S., Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Home Department, as

Chairman of the Commissioners for the Port of Calcutta with effect from the date he assumes charge of the post vice Shri R. Gupta, I.C.S.

[No. 9-(A)-P.I(1)/56.]

T. S. PARASURAMAN, Dy. Secy.

(Transport Wing)

PORTS

New Delhi, the 7th January 1956

S.R.O. 96.—The following draft of an amendment to the Port of Kandla (Petroleum) Rules, 1955, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the Indian Ports Act, 1908 (XV of 1908), is published, as required by sub-section (2) of the said section, for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 25th February, 1956. Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Amendment

In rule 14 of the said Rules, for the words "Chief Customs Officer", the words "Customs Collector" shall be substituted.

[No. 3-PH(91)/54.]

A. V. SUBRAMANIA IYER, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS

New Delhi, the 9th January 1956

S.R.O. 97.—In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 160 of the Indian Aircraft Rules, 1937, the Central Government hereby exempts for a further period upto 31st December, 1956, all persons in charge of aircraft engaged in international navigation, from the operation of Clause (V) of sub-rule (2) of rule 7 of the said Rules, in so far as it requires such persons to carry in the said aircraft, the aircraft and engine log books, subject to the condition that the working copies of the aforesaid documents are carried in the said aircraft.

[No. AR/1937(14)—10-A/53-55.]

S. MULLICK, Dy. Secy.

New Delhi, the 10th January 1956

S.R.O. 98.—In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 53 of the Indian Aircraft Rules, 1920, the Central Government is pleased to direct that the following amendment shall be made in the Ministry of Communications Notification No. 10-A/51-48 dated the 2nd May, 1950, namely:—

In the said Notification, from the first line in clause (b), the word "Deputy" shall be omitted.

[No. AR/1920(1).]

[F. No. 10-A/100-55.]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 5th January 1956

S.R.O. 99.—In pursuance of rule 160 of the Indian Aircraft Rules, 1937, the Central Government is pleased to extend for a further period up to the 30th June, 1956 with effect from the 1st January, 1956, the exemption granted in the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Communications No. 10-A/2-51, dated 14th March, 1952 to Indian registered aircraft engaged in international public transport from the operation of clause (a) of sub-rule (4) of rule

38 of the said Rules, subject to the conditions specified at (ii) to (vi) in the said order.

2. This exemption shall apply to flights between India and Pakistan, Nepal or Ceylon or such other destination as may be approved by the Director General of Civil Aviation.

[No. AR/1937(15)—10-A/1-56.]

D. R. KOHLI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

New Delhi, the 9th January 1956

S. R. O. 100—In pursuance of the first proviso to clause (i) of sub-rule (1) of rule 41 of the Mineral, Concession Rules, 1949 and of all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Central Government hereby revises, with effect from the 1st January 1955, the rates of royalty as in column 2 of the table below in respect of the minerals specified in column 1 thereof.

TABLE

Minerals 1	Revised rates 2
"Iron—	
(a) Used for extraction of iron within the country.	Five per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth subject to a minimum of annas 8 per ton.
(b) Used for other purposes	Five per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth subject to a minimum of Re. 1/- per ton."
"Manganese ore—	
(a) High grade (45 per cent Mn. and over)	Seven and a half per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth, subject to a minimum of Rs. 1-8-0 per ton.
(b) Low grade (below 45 per cent Mn.)	Seven and a half per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth, subject to a minimum of As. 12 per ton".
"Chromite—	
(a) 45 per cent Cr $2O_3$ and above	Seven and a half per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth, subject to a minimum of Rs. 2-4-0 per ton.
(b) Less than 45 per cent Cr $2O_3$	Seven and a half per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth, subject to a minimum of Rs. 1-2-0 per ton.
"Limestone—	Five per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth, subject to a minimum of As. 6."
"Dolomite—	Five per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth, subject to a minimum of As. 4 per ton."
"Graphite—	Seven per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth.
"China Clay—	Seven and a half per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth."
"Kyanite—	Seven and a half per cent of the sale value at the pit's mouth."

[No. M 11-159 (10)/ 55.]

R. N. VASUDEVA, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF PRODUCTION

New Delhi, the 7th January 1956

S.R.O. 101—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (f) of Section 8 of the Central Silk Board Act, 1948 (LXI of 1948), the Central Government hereby directs that the work relating to import and distribution of 90 metric tons of raw silk from China shall be undertaken by the Central Silk Board.

[No. 22/10/55/C.I.(Silk).]

P. J. MENON, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF REHABILITATION

New Delhi, the 5th January 1956

S.R.O. 102.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that it is necessary to acquire certain evacuee properties in the State of Uttar Pradesh for a public purpose being a purpose connected with the relief and rehabilitation of displaced persons, including payment of compensation to such persons.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 12 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954) it is notified that the Central Government has decided to acquire, and hereby acquires, the evacuee groves specified in the Schedule.

THE SCHEDULE

List of evacuee properties for acquisition under Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954.

Sl. No.	Particulars of the evacuee property		Name of the town and locality in which the evacuee property is situated.	Name of the evacuee
	Khasra No.	Area		
1	2	3	4	5
			District Benaras.	
1.	61	2.13	I. <i>Tahsil Benaras</i> Bhiti.	Liyakar Ali & Mohs'in s/o Asad Ali.
2.	300M	.53	II. <i>Tahsil Nanpara.</i> Durva ranti.	Kuk-Kazi Mohd. Ismail s/o Mohd. Isa.
3.	113/1 114 115 111/2 136 137 135	1.64	III. <i>Tahsil Chandauli.</i> Nadesar.	Mst. Wakilan Bibi w/o Abdul Ghani.
4.	29/2	.99	Pura Ganes.	Do.
5.	1	.62	Timil Pura	Abdul Razzak s/o Jawahar.

[No. SHI-3(4)/55.]

S.R.O. 103.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation Act, 1954) (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri J. C. Gulati as Settlement Officer, for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such Officer by or under the said Act with effect from the date he took charge of his office.

[No. 7/1/55/Comp.]

New Delhi, the 7th January 1956

S.R.O. 104.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub section (1) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri R. L. Mansukhani as Settlement Officer, for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officer by or under the said Act, with effect from the date he took charge of his office.

[No. 7/2/55-S. II.]

S.R.O. 105.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Nagendra Bahadur,

I.A.S. as Joint Chief Settlement Commissioner for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officer by or under the said Act with effect from the date he took charge of his office.

[No. 5/45/55-SII.]

S.R.O. 106.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Claims) Supplementary Act, 1954, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Nagendra Bahadur, I.A.S., as Joint Chief Settlement Commissioner for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officer by or under the said Act, with effect from the date he took charge of his office.

[No. 5/45/55-SII(III).]

New Delhi, the 9th January 1956

S.R.O. 107.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954) the Central Government hereby appoints Shri S. C. Dewan as Settlement Officer, for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officer by or under the said Act, with effect from the date he took charge of his office.

[No. 7/3/55-Comp.]

S.R.O. 108.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954, (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri J. P. Malhotra, Settlement Officer for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officer by or under said Act, with effect from the date he took charge of his office.

[No. 7/4/55/Comp.]

M. L. PURI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 4th January 1956

S.R.O. 109.—In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 3 of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, the Central Government hereby nominates Shri P. M. Menon, I.C.S., Joint Secretary to the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, to be the Chairman of the Central Board of Trustees, Employees Provident Fund, *vice* Shri Vishnu Sahay, I.C.S., who has resigned his chairmanship and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, No. S.R.O. 1861, dated the 31st October, 1952, namely:—

In the said notification, for item No. 1, the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"1. Shri P. M. Menon, I.C.S., Joint Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi—*Chairman.*"

[No. PF.33(12)/55.]

TEJA SINGH SAHNI, Dy. Secy.

New Delhi, the 4th January 1956

S.R.O. 110.—In pursuance of the provisions of paragraph 20 of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, framed under section 5 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (XIX of 1952), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, No. PF-516(19), dated the 17th September, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. A. Bhaskar, Provident Fund Inspector, Bombay, to be Regional Provident Fund Commissioner for the whole of the State of Bombay to work under the general control and superintendence of the Central Commissioner.

[No. PF-31(155)/55.]

New Delhi, the 6th January 1956

S.R.O. 111.—In pursuance of paragraph 4 of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri V. S. Deshpande A.C.A. (London), Secretary, Sankey Electrical Stampings Ltd., Bhandup, Bombay 40, to be a member of the Regional Committee for the State of Bombay in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Shri Lalchand Hirachand and makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 1281, dated the 27th June 1953, namely:—

In the said notification, for the entry "(6) Shri Lalchand Hirachand, Chairman, Board of Directors of Cooper Engineering Ltd., Bombay," the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"(6) Shri V. S. Deshpande, A.C.A. (London), Secretary, Sankey Electrical Stampings Ltd., Bhandup, Bombay 40."

[No. PF. 45(7)/55.]

New Delhi, the 10th January 1956

S.R.O. 112.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (XIX of 1952), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 875, dated the 16th April, 1955, namely:—

At the end of the said notification, the words and figures, "with effect from the 1st October, 1955" shall be added.

[No. PF-57(3)/55.]

R. C. SAKSENA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 6th January 1956

S.R.O. 113.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (1) of regulation 29 of the Indian Coal Mines Regulations, 1926, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri G. W. Hogg as a member of the Board of Examiners constituted under the said Regulation *vide* Shri T. P. M. Evans.

[No. M-43(2)55.]

New Delhi, the 10th January 1956

S.R.O. 114.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Mines Act, 1952 (XXXV of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri D. N. Bagchi as an Inspector of Mines subordinate to the Chief Inspector.

[No. M-48(14)54.]

P. D. COMMAR, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 7th January 1956

S.R.O. 115.—In pursuance of section 10 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (XXXIV of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, No. S.R.O. 1209, dated the 7th April, 1954, constituting the Medical Benefit Council, namely:—

In the said notification, for item (10), the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

"(10) Dr. K. M. Lal, Director of Medical and Health Services, Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow."

[No. F. SS. 121(120).]

K. N. NAMBIAR, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 7th January 1956

S.R.O. 116.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Trivandrum, in the dispute between Hopkin and Williams (Trav.) Ltd., Chavara, and the Mineral Companies Staff Association, Chavara.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL), TRIVANDRUM

PRESENT

Shri K. N. Kunjukrishna Pillai B.A., B.L.

In the matter of Industrial Dispute No. 50 of 1954

BETWEEN

Messrs. Hopkin & Williams (Trav) Ltd., Chavara.

AND

The Mineral Companies Staff Association, Chavara.

REPRESENTATION

Sri V. K. Krishna Menon, Bar-at-Law, High Court Advocate—*On behalf of the Company.*

Sri T. K. Divakaran, President of the Staff Association—*On behalf of the Staff Association.*

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour, Government of India, referred certain disputes between the above parties by their Order L.R. 2(77)/54, dated 23rd October, 1954 to me and the same was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 50 of 1954. Summons were issued and posted the dispute on 22nd November, 1954. The Mineral Companies Staff Association (which will be mentioned as Association hereinafter) has filed written statement only on 18th January 1955 and the management of M/s. Hopkin & Williams (Trav) Ltd., (which will be mentioned as Company hereinafter) filed two reply statements on 29th January, 1955 and on 3rd March, 1955. The Association has examined 1 witness and filed Ext. A and Ext. B and the Company has examined 2 witnesses filed Ext. I to Ext. VI. The issues referred for adjudication are:—

- “1. Whether the claim for attendance bonus to be extended to all categories of employees is reasonable?
2. Whether the existing production bonus paid to production categories of employees is low and whether such bonus should be extended to all other categories?
3. Whether the claim of the extension of the existing Provident Fund to all the monthly paid employees is obligatory on Management?
4. Whether claim for enhancement of annual bonus from 25 per cent. of Basic Pay to 25 per cent. of total earnings is justifiable?
5. Whether the claim for shipping bonus is legal?”.

2. It is to be pointed out that during the pendency of adjudication, the registration of the Association was cancelled under Section 10 of the Indian Trade Union Act and till it was registered, the Association was not expeditiously prosecuting the dispute and hence some delay was caused. The present Association was registered and when evidence began, the Company filed a petition that there was no Industrial dispute since one of the parties to the dispute ceased to exist legally as soon as registration was cancelled. Evidence was taken on the petition and arguments heard and it was decided only on 13th November, 1955. Hence, there was some delay in the disposal of the dispute. It has to be remarked with gratification that the representatives of both parties were helpful in that they were brief and precise in adducing evidence and advancing arguments.

3. In December 1952, the Association submitted a memorandum of demands to the Company and some of the demands were settled in the conciliation conference held on 18th and 19th February 1953 and the other issues were agreed to be referred for arbitration. Due to difference of opinion between the parties regarding the details of the arbitration proceedings, the machinery of arbitration was given up and both parties jointly applied under Section 10(2) of the

Industrial Disputes Act for adjudication and hence it was referred to me constituting me as Central Government Industrial Tribunal.

3. The first issue is 'whether the claim for attendance bonus to be extended to all categories of employees is reasonable'.

4. At present attendance bonus is given to all employees except the engineers and office staff. Attendance bonus is intended to be an incentive bonus to ordinary workmen to ensure regular attendance and consequently better production. It is now given to all employees except the engineers and office staff. In June 1952, some of the daily-rated workmen were converted into monthly-rated and as I have to refer to the staff who became monthly-paid in June 1952, I will refer the engineers and office staff who were monthly-paid staff even before June 1952 as pre-June staff. The contention of the Association is that since monthly-paid staff are given attendance bonus, there is no reason to refuse the payment to pre-June-staff as well. According to the Company, attendance bonus was used to be given only to daily-rated workmen but since some of them were converted into monthly-rated in June 1952, they continued to enjoy the same benefit even though the Company could have stopped the payment. It is further contended that the Company did not stop it because the pre-June-staff enjoyed some other benefit like payment of bonus, provident fund contribution on the consolidated salary etc.

5. Attendance bonus is in vogue in certain concerns in this State and the object is, as I have mentioned above, to give an incentive to workmen to work hard and earn more. Hard work increases production and production increases wealth of the nation. Ordinary workmen as distinguished from staff are not sufficiently educated to realise their responsibility towards them as well as towards the society. Generally the former category is concerned with money for which they work. But in the case of members of the staff, I must think that they are more educated to realise their responsibility not only to themselves but to the society at large. It is true that they must get a living wage without which any realization of responsibility may not stand in good stead. Here it is not the contention of the Association that the living condition of the staff is too low that they should be granted this additional remuneration. Attendance bonus to monthly paid staff is, I must think, an anachronism. But that does not mean that the Company should stop the payment to those who are getting the benefit as it is not an issue referred for adjudication. Hence I hold that the pre-June-staff are not entitled to get attendance bonus and I decide the issue against the Association.

5. The second issue is 'whether the existing production bonus paid to production categories of employees is low and whether such bonus should be extended to all other categories'.

6. This issue consists of two parts: the first being whether production bonus paid should be increased or not. Production bonus paid at present in the Company is as follows:—

Tons	
120-129	.. 9 ps. per head.
130	.. 2 as. per head.
131-135	.. 3 as. per head.
136-140	.. 4 as. per head.

And for every 5 tons one anna more and the maximum is fixed for 185 tons.

7. The Association would demand that the rate is low but the Company would contend that it is very high. In this connection, Mr. T. K. Divakaran submitted that the rate prevalent in the sister concern in the same locality namely Travancore Mineral Concern could be accepted. In the absence of evidence to substantiate Company's contentions, I must say that Mr. T. K. Divakaran is very reasonable in the demand and I hold that the rate now in vogue in T.M.C. should be the rate in the Company. The rates prevalent in T.M.C. are:—

<i>Production per shift</i>	<i>Date of bonus per head</i>
From 110 to 115 tons	.. 2 as.
From 116 to 120 tons	.. 3 as.
From 121 to 125 tons	.. 4 as.
From 126 to 130 tons	.. 5 as.

I hold accordingly.

8. The next question to be considered in this issue is whether such bonus be extended to all other categories of workmen.

9. The contention of the Association is that Production bonus should be extended to all employees who are engaged in the work incidental to or connected with the production of minerals and hence it should be extended to shift engineers and foremen of the workshop and watchers. But the contention of the Company is that this should be given only to those who are actually engaged in the production and hence shift engineers and foremen and watchers are not entitled to claim it. Now it is given to factory workers and workshop employees.

10. As deposed by the Asst. Manager as EW2, only those who were directly connected with production are entitled to claim production bonus. It is rather difficult for me in this case to decide which are the categories of workmen who are directly connected with production because there is no evidence. But it is easy to decide the issue because Mr. Divakaran in his arguments confined that shift engineers, foremen and watchers should be awarded production bonus. Shift engineers are very responsible officers of the Company who are concerned with the production but are not directly connected with production in the same way as workmen in the factory are directly connected with production. Their efficient and sincere work should be appreciated by the Company not by payment of production bonus but by higher standards of appreciation. Regarding foremen and watchers, I do not think that they are directly connected with production, nonetheless, every employee in the company from unskilled labour to the General Manager are and should be anxious about production in the Company. Hence I cannot accept the contention of the Association and hold that shift engineers, foremen and watchers are not entitled to claim production bonus.

11. In this connection, Sri V. K. K. Menon would argue that before deciding the issue, I have to decide the question whether shift engineers are workmen or not according to Industrial Disputes Act. According to him, it is necessary to decide the question because they are members of the Association who put forth the claim on their behalf also under the impression that they are also workmen and if they are not, they could not demand the benefit of the Award if any. Mr. T. K. Divakaran would oppose it on the ground that there is no issue regarding the matter and hence the decision of the question is neither relevant nor necessary. This is a very important question. It is clear that there is no issue regarding shift engineers alone. The Association demands certain rights and privileges to certain category of workmen in which they have included shift engineers generally. So long as it is not a relevant or necessary point to be decided in deciding the issues referred for adjudication, I do not think I am justified in passing some opinion on the question especially when no opportunity was given to the Association however important it may be from the point of view of the Company.

12. *Provident Fund.*—The Provident Fund Scheme was introduced in the Company from 1st January, 1952. It is the contention of the Association that all workers were not given the benefit of the scheme from 1st January, 1952 and it was extended to some only from 1st April, 1954 and the Association demand that such of those workmen who came under the scheme from 1st April 1954, should be given the benefit of the scheme from 1st January, 1952 retrospectively.

13. It is an admitted fact that when the scheme was introduced on 1st January, 1952 only the monthly-paid staff as stood on that date were brought under the scheme. In June 1952, some of the daily-rated workmen were converted into monthly-paid, such staff who are mentioned as post-June-staff were not brought under the scheme until from 1st July, 1954. It is the contention of the Association that the benefit of the scheme should be given to the post-June-staff for the period till 1st July, 1954.

14. It is the contention of the Company that the dispute was under discussion from June 1952 till at last it was compromised on 2nd August, 1954 on the basis of which an award was passed on 6th September, 1954 and it was published in the Gazette of India on 16th October, 1954, a copy of which was marked as Ext. IV. The question to be decided is whether Ext. IV Award is binding on the Association as well or not.

15. It is true that this Association was not a party to Ext. IV Award or to the agreement on 2nd August, 1954. I do not know how this ought to be a dispute between the parties regarding Provident Fund Benefit to the post-June-staff as soon as they became eligible for it. Ext. IV is not legally binding on the Association. But there is a more important consideration which prevents me in allowing the demand of the Association. Item 8 of the agreement dated 2nd August, 1954 is incorporated in Ext. IV Award stated:

“For all employees on the Muster Roll both daily-paid as well as monthly-paid, a Provident Fund Scheme will be instituted with effect from 1st July, 1954.....”.

This shows that there were other monthly-paid employees who were included in the scheme from 1st July 1954 only. It may be that they were eligible to derive the benefit of the scheme prior to 1st July, 1954 but as a voluntary agreement on many important issues, the Union under the leadership of its President might have agreed even though the particular clause might have affected adversely a few workmen because it is always desirable to come to voluntary agreements with a spirit of 'give and take' on both sides. So to decide that the post-June-staff should be given the benefit will, I am afraid upset the whole equilibrium on the basis of which Ext. IV was passed and it will surely affect the industrial peace in the concern; because the workmen affected by the Provident Fund Scheme in Ext. IV may take up the question and there is all likelihood that the present cordial relationship being affected. This will cause misery to the workmen, hardship to the management and loss to the country.

16. Further any interference in the working of the scheme will affect both the Company and the staff because both will have to contribute sums and I am afraid that the post-June-staff will be hit hard if they are asked to contribute a huge sum according to Trust Deed which is Ext. VI. I am further constrained to point out that an interference in the working of a Provident Fund Scheme for not a very justifiable reason will be disadvantageous to the party who should be benefited by it.

17. Lastly it was brought to my notice that the Association has accepted a Provident Fund Scheme from 1st April, 1954 as is evident from Ext. II. Ext. II is a copy of proceedings of the Government of Travancore-Cochin dated 21st June, 1954. Item 6 deals with Provident Fund benefit. It is clear from Ext. II that the Proceedings were based on the demands of representative of organisations of workmen including the Association and also based on the proceedings of the conference held on 20th May, 1954 dated 3rd June, 1954 between the parties. It is admitted that a Provident Fund Scheme was introduced in Travancore Mineral Concerns in 1954 whereas at least a portion of the staff in M/s. Hopkin & Williams was benefited by the scheme in 1952. While the Association is accepting the working of the scheme regarding some of its members in T.M.C. from 1st April, 1954, even though such workmen were working there long before 1st April, 1954, I do not know how the Association was justified in pressing the issue against the Company. Further any interference in the scheme would be an incentive to re-open the question as decided in Ext. II.

18. Hence, on a consideration of all facts and on the anxiety to have industrial peace in the concern, I have to decide the issue against the Association.

19. The next issue is whether the claim for enhancement of annual bonus from 25 per cent. of the basic pay to 25 per cent. of total earnings is justifiable. In the statement filed by the Association, the demand is that the staff should be paid 25 per cent. of the total earnings as bonus for the years 1952, 1953 and 1954. Sri V. K. K. Menon would argue that I am not competent to decide the issue with reference to a particular year as I am called up to decide what should be the quantum of bonus only. Technically speaking, Mr. Menon is justified in arguing like this. But I must point out that it is not a correct approach to the question because as Mr. Divakaran argues it when the Association submitted the demands in December 1952, the bonus was one of the demands and it cannot be presumed that Association wanted enunciation of principles on which bonus could be paid but that when the Association claimed bonus at the rate of 25 per cent. of total earnings, it was clear to the parties that it was for the year 1952. This is made still clear by the statement of the Company filed on 29th January, 1955 and on 3rd March, 1955 because no mention is made in the statements regarding the technical objection raised by the learned Advocate. This is evidently an afterthought. I cannot accept the contention of the Association that bonus for 1953 and 1954 has to be decided in this adjudication; because there could not be a demand for bonus in December, 1952 regarding bonus for 1953 and 1954. Hence I hold that the issue relates to bonus for 1952 only.

20. The Company was paying bonus from 1946 at the rate of 8 1/3% of basic pay and subsequently it was increased to 16 2/3% upto 1949. In 1950, it was further increased to 25 per cent. of the basic wages and 25 per cent. of Dearness Allowance. In June 1952, the daily-rated workmen were made monthly-rated and grade was introduced from 1st July, 1954 and separate D.A. was also given. The pre-June-staff, whose basic pay of D.A. was consolidated, was given bonus at the rate of 25 per cent. and hence the demand is that post-June-staff should also be given same bonus from 1952. It seems to me that a discrimination in the payment of bonus to the staff in the same concern will lead

to discontentment among the staff and may result in estrangement of feelings. So it is better to have the same standard regarding bonus for the staff. There is no demand by the Company that the bonus paid to pre-June-staff is unreasonable but at the same time the demand is from the Association to make it uniform. There are two alternatives evidently to make it uniform. I am not here to effect a cut in the bonus paid to the pre-June-staff because there is no issue. Hence in the interest of industrial peace, I have to resort to the other alternatives namely increasing the bonus of the post-June-staff. In this connection, Mr. Menon would bring to my notice the bonus awarded in Ext. IV. Eventhough Mr. Divakaran would submit that the staff is not a party, it is a point to be reckoned with but the foremost consideration namely the avoidance of indiscrimination in the payment of bonus to the staff has to be taken into consideration with the avowed object of establishing industrial peace. Hence, under the peculiar circumstances of this case, I award 25 per cent. of the total earnings as bonus for 1952 to the staff. Mr. Menon would point out that this may give rise to discontentment in the minds of labours. But that cannot be so because unusually peculiar consideration of this case as mentioned above persuaded me to award the quantum of bonus to the staff.

21. The next issue namely whether the claim of shipping bonus is legal is rather vague. According to the Association, they demand that the staff should be paid a sum from the profit derived by the Company in the trading results between N.A.W. side (Naked-at-work) and F.A.S. side. The difference between the selling price and the basic charges is divided between the Company and Government in the ratio of 75:25 and selling price is the price at N.A.W. So, according to the Association, the Profit earned by the Company in the course of passage of minerals from N.A.W. to F.A.S. should be distributed to the staff as well. But Mr. Menon would oppose that it could not be done because there is no separate balance-sheet for the particular section and it is not a part and parcel of the concern and it may not be always feasible to do so because the purchasers at N.A.W. may take delivery of the articles. Further, the increase in the basic pay of the staff as evidenced by Ext. V does not warrant the necessity.

22. It is admitted that till 1952, some lump sum was paid to the staff which was named by workmen as 'shipping bonus' and it was stopped in 1952. It is clear from Ext. V that an employee who was paid Rs. 2-8-0 daily prior to 1952 was paid Rs. 82-8-0 and with D.A. 37-8-0 and it was fixed on a gradation basis in 1954 as Rs. 50-5-80-10-160. This is one instance and it is deposed by the Asst. Manager that an office peon who got Rs. 1-8-0 prior to 1952 is now given a pay of Rs. 70/ plus D.A. of Rs. 37-8-0 per mensem. I must think that comparing the wage-structure elsewhere that there is no necessity at present to allow any further increment in the earnings in the way of lump sum payment. Further, T.M.C., a similar concern is not paying the shipping bonus. Hence, I hold that the demand is unjustifiable and decide it against the Association. But, if any of the employees are enjoining any benefit under this item, they should not be deprived of such benefits on account of the implementation of this Award.

23. I pass this award in term specified above. This award takes effect from the date of publication according to Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. Trivandrum, the 20th December, 1955. (Sd.) K. N. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI,
Industrial Tribunal (Central).

APPENDIX

Workers' Witnesses

1. Sri M. James.

Employers' Witnesses.

1. Shri K. C. Balakrishna Menon.
2. Sri K. Ramakrishnan.

Exhibits on behalf of Association

- A. Certificate of registration of trade Union dated 26th March, 1955, of Mineral Companies Staff Association, Chavara.
- B. Letter from the Hopkin & Williams to the Secretary, The Minerals Companies Staff Association, Chavara dated 4th July, 1952.

Exhibits on behalf of the management

- I. Gazette cutting of the Travancore-Cochin Government Gazette dated 7th December 1951.
- II. Government Proceedings L5-5385/54/DD., dated 1st June 1954. (Copy).
- III. Government Order L5-5385/54/DD., dated 28th July 1954.
- IV. Trust Deed and Rules of Hopkins & Williams (Trav.) Ltd., Provident Fund.
- V. Gazette cutting of the Award in Industrial Dispute No. 1/54.
- VI. List of employees, their salaries etc. of pre-June-staff, monthly-paid-factory, and monthly-paid-general.

(Sd.) K. N. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI,
Industrial Tribunal, (Central).

[No. LR II/2(77)/54.]

New Delhi, the 9th January 1956

S.R.O. 117.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the All India Industrial Tribunal (Colliery Disputes), Calcutta, in the matter of an application under section 33A of the said Act from Shri Bachan Lal, Pit Head Bath Attendant, West Bokaro Colliery, P.O. Ghatotand, Hazaribagh.

ALL INDIA INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (COLLIERY DISPUTES), CALCUTTA

PRESENT

Shri J. N. Majumdar—*Chairman*.

Shri S. P. Chopra—*Member*.

Shri T. N. Mallappa—*Member*.

APPLICATION No. 22 of 1955 (U/S 33-A OF THE ACT)

PARTIES

Shri Bachan Lal, Pit Head Bath Attendant, C/o Shri S. C. Gupta, Vice-President, West Bokaro Colliery Workers' Union, P.O. Ghatotand, Hazaribagh—*Applicant*.

Versus

The Manager, West Bokaro Colliery, P.O. Ghatotand, Hazaribagh—*Op. Party*.

APPEARANCES

No one appears for the Applicant.

Shri Satis Ch. Sen, Advocate, for the Opposite Party.

AWARD

Dated, the 24th December 1955

This is an application under section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by a Pit Head Bath Attendant belonging to the West Bokaro Colliery against the Company. The Company has filed a Written Statement. When the application was taken up the Counsel appearing for the Company placed before us a representation addressed by the workman to the Company which runs as follows:—

"IT IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED on behalf of the complainant.

- (1) That the complainant has apologised for his misconduct on 28th May, 1955 to the Management in writing.
- (2) That he be accordingly permitted to withdraw his complaint against the opposite party as he has no further interest in the said case as the dispute does not exist any longer.

Witness:

(Sd.) S. C. GUPTA,

(Sd.) MADHUSUDAN CHOUDHURY.

(Sd.) BACHAN LAL.

(Sd.) P. S. MENON.

The Counsel for the Company certified the representation as follows:—

"It is true that the matter has been settled amicably and we have no objection to the applicant's withdrawing the petition.

(Sd.) S. C. SEN,

for the Opposite Party, 21-12-55."

The workman was absent but it was found that the workman had addressed a letter to the office of the Tribunal praying for the withdrawal of his case. It is, therefore, clear that there is no subsisting dispute which requires to be adjudicated upon by us. The prayer for withdrawal is recorded and an award is passed dismissing complaint.

(Sd.) J. N. MAJUMDAR, *Chairman*.

(Sd.) S. P. CHOPRA, *Member*.

(Sd.) T. N. MALLAPPA, *Member*.

[No. LR-II-4/(56).]

S.R.O. 118.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the All India Industrial Tribunal (Colliery Disputes), Calcutta, in the matter of applications under section 33A of the said Act from Shri Dinoo Manjhi, Onsetter, Balihari Colliery, P.O. Kasunda, Manbhum.

ALL INDIA INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (COLLIERY DISPUTES), CALCUTTA PRESENT

Shri J. N. Majumdar—*Chairman*.

Shri S. P. Chopra—*Member*.

Shri T. N. Mallappa—*Member*.

(1) APPLICATION No. 8 OF 1955 (U/S 33-A OF THE ACT)

Shri Dinoo Manjhi, Onsetter, Balihari Colliery, C/o S. V. Acharior, General Secretary, Hindusthan Khan Mazdur Sangh, Kirkend, P.O. Kasunda, Manbhum—*Applicant*.

Versus

Balihari Colliery Co., Ltd., Balihari Colliery, P.O. Kasunda, Manbhum—*Op. Party*.

(2) APPLICATION No. 19 OF 1955 (U/S 33-A OF THE ACT)

Shri Dinoo Manjhi, Onsetter, Balihari Colliery, C/o S. V. Acharior, General Secretary, Hindusthan Khan Mazdur Sangh, Kirkend, P.O. Kasunda, Manbhum—*Applicant*.

Versus

Balihari Colliery Co., Ltd., Balihari Colliery, P.O. Kasunda, Manbhum—*Op. Party*.

APPEARANCES

Shri Ranen Roy, Working President, Hindusthan Khan Mazdoor Sangh and General Secretary, United Trade Union Congress, Bihar State, for the Applicant.

Shri J. M. Sheth, Law Officer, with Shri Chatrabhuj Thakur, Officer-in-Charge for the Opposite Party.

AWARD

Dated, the 24th day of December, 1955

These are two applications under section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, by a workman of the Balihari Colliery Co., Ltd.

Application No. 8 of 1955 is in respect of an order of suspension passed against the workman during the pendency of the proceedings before the Tribunal.

Application No. 19 of 1955 is in respect of a subsequent order of dismissal passed against him by the Company during the pendency of proceedings before the Tribunal.

The Company has filed written statements to both the applications. It is not necessary to go into the facts as the parties to the dispute have settled their differences and placed before us a memorandum of settlement which runs as follows:—

APPLICATION NOS. 8 AND 19 OF 1955 (U/s 33-A OF I.D. ACT)

Sri Dinoo Manjhi

Vs.

M/s. Balihari Colliery Co., Ltd.

Humble petition on behalf of the applicant.

Most respectfully showeth:

- (1) That the applicant is sorry and tender unqualified apology if he has done any wrong.
- (2) That the applicant will not demand any compensation for idle period, that is, from 4th April, 1955 till to-day, if reinstated.
- (3) That the applicant will be reinstated with effect from 22nd December, 1955 without any break in service.
- (4) That the applicant may be transferred to some other colliery within the state of Bihar under the same management and his mother who is working in Balihari Colliery may also be transferred with him to the other colliery.

We agree

(Sd.) CHATURBHUJ THAKUR,

21-12-55

Representative for the Opposite Party.

It is, prayed that orders may be given in above terms or any other order or orders may be passed as may be deemed fit and proper. And for this your petitioner shall ever pray.

(Sd.) RANEN ROY.

21-12-55.

L.T.I. of DINO O MANJHI,

Applicant.

We therefore pass an award on the above terms.

(Sd.) J. N. MAJUMDAR, *Chairman.*

(Sd.) S. P. CHOPRA, *Member.*

(Sd.) T. N. MALLAPPA, *Member.*

[No. LR-II-5/56.]

P. S. EASWARAN, Under Secy.

ORDER

New Delhi, the 4th January 1956

S.R.O. 119—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Industrial Disputes (Banking Companies) Decision Act, 1955 (41 of 1955), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, No. S.R.O. 3786, dated the 21st December, 1955, namely:—

In the preamble to the said order, for the words beginning with "Whereas certain workmen" and ending with "have represented to the Central Government", the following shall be substituted, namely:—"WHEREAS certain workmen of the Raghunathmull Bank Limited, Hyderabad, represented by the Raghunathmull Bank Staff Association, Hyderabad, certain workmen of the Bank of Bikaner Limited, Jaipur and Bank of Jaipur Limited, Jaipur, represented by the Rajasthan Bank Employees Union, Jaipur, and certain workmen of the Punjab National Bank Limited, Delhi, represented by the Association of the Punjab National Bank Employees, Delhi, have alleged"

[No. LR-100(23)/55]

N C. KUPPUSWAMY, Dy Secy.

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

ORDERS

New Delhi-2, the 10th January 1956

S.R.O. 120.—The Central Government hereby:—

- (a) directs, in pursuance of the provisions of the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No. S.R.O. 3805 dated the 26th December, 1955, that the Advisory Panel of the Central Board of Film Censors at Bombay shall consist of 35 members with effect from the 14th January, 1956
- (b) appoints, after consultation with the said Board the following persons as members of the Advisory Panel at Bombay with effect from the 14th January, 1956:—

1. Shrimati Qamar N L Ahmad;
- 2 Dr. Shrimati Hirabai J Joshi, and
- 3 Shri S. Dikshit.

[No 14/2/55-FC]

New Delhi-2, the 12th January 1956

S.R.O. 121.—In pursuance of clause 2 of the directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule to the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No S.R.O. 945, dated the 28th April, 1955 the Central Government with previous approval of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay, hereby certifies the films specified in column 2 of the Schedule hereto annexed, in all their language versions, to be of the description specified against each in the corresponding entry of column 5 of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

S. No	Title of the Film	Name of the Producer	Source of Supply	Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film.
1.	Indian News Review No. 378.	Government of India, Films Division, Bombay.	Government of India Films Division, Bombay.	Film dealing with news and current events.
2.	Money and Bankin	Do.	Do.	Documentary film
3.	Training fo. Service Series I	Do.	Do.	Do.

[No. 1/16/55-F: App/67.]

D. KRISHNA AYYAR, Under Secy.

